# HINDU UNDIVIDED FAMILY

Bharat Raichandani Advocate

> Partner UBR Legal Advocates

### DEFINITION

- Not Defined in any law.
- Following are the **essentials required** for a family arrangement to be classified as a HUF:
  - 1. He should be **Hindu** (Sikh and Buddhists are included, Muslims and Sikhs are Excluded);
  - 2. There should be a **family**; and
  - 3. They should be living **jointly**.
- The HUF is a Joint Hindu Family consisting of 'Male members lineally descended from a common male ancestor, together with their -
  - 1. Mothers
  - 2. Wives
  - 3. Unmarried daughters and
  - 4. The Hindu coparcenary'

#### ... CGT v. B.K. Sampangiram (1986) 160 ITR 188 (Karn.)

 HUF can't be created by an act of the parties. To introduce a stranger into an HUF, adoption is required.

#### ... CIT vs. M.M. Khanna (1963) 49 ITR 232 (Bom)

- HUF comes into existence by the <u>virtue of marriage</u>.
- It is not necessary to have a child.

#### **Related Terms**

- **Karta:** Karta is the head of the HUF. He is the <u>senior most member</u> of the family irrespective of his capabilities. If the senior most member gives up his right to a junior member, he becomes a Karta. There can be more than one Karta in HUF.
- Coparcener: Individuals who acquires interest by birth in the joint family property.
   Ex. Son, Grandson, Great Grandsons, Daughters, Granddaughters and Great
   Granddaughters (daughters are included as coparceners after Hindu Succession (amendment) Act, 2005.
- Member: It is a very <u>basic unit</u> of a HUF. Ex. Wife of the son is a member in Sons' HUF but a coparcener in her Fathers' HUF.

#### Statement of Objects and Reasons of Amendments through Hindu Succesion (Amendment) Act, 2005

- (1) "The Hindu Succession Act, 1956" has amended and codified the "law relating to intestate succession among Hindus". The Act brought about changes in the law of succession among Hindus, and <u>gave rights</u>, which were till then unknown, <u>in relation to women's property</u>. However, it does not interfere with the special rights (rule of survivorship) of those, who are members of Hindu Mitakshara coparcenary, except to provide <u>rules for devolution</u> of the interest of a deceased male, in certain cases. In the case of a testamentary disposition, this Act does not apply, and the interest of the deceased is governed by the "Indian Succession Act, 1925".
- (2) Earlier Section 6 of the Act deals with <u>devolution of interest of a male Hindu</u> in coparcenary property, and recognises the rule of devolution by survivorship among the members of the coparcenary. The retention of system of the 'Mitakashara coparcenary property', without including the females in it, means that, the <u>females cannot inherit in ancestral property</u>, as their male counterparts do. The law, by excluding the daughter from participating in the coparcenary ownership, not only <u>contributes to</u> her <u>discrimination</u> on the ground of gender, but also has led to <u>oppression and negation of her-fundamental right of equality guaranteed</u> by the Constitution.

- Having regard to the need to render <u>social justice</u> to women, the States of <u>Andhra</u> <u>Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Maharashtra have made necessary changes</u> in the law, giving equal right to daughters in Hindu Mitakshara coparcenary property. The Kerala Legislature has enacted the Kerala Joint Hindu Family System (Abolition) Act, 1975.
- (3) It is proposed to remove the discrimination, as contained in (earlier) section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956, by giving <u>equal rights to daughters in the 'Hindu Mitakshara coparcenary property' as the sons have.</u> Section 23 of the Act <u>disentitles a female heir, to ask for partition</u>, in respect of a dwelling house, wholly occupied by a joint family, until the male heirs choose to divide their respective shares therein. It is also <u>proposed to omit the said section</u>, so as to remove the disability on female heirs contained in that section.
- (4) The above proposals are <u>based on the recommendations</u> of the 'Law Commission of India', as contained in its 174 Report on "Property Rights of Women: Proposed Reform under the Hindu Law".
- (5) The Bill seeks to achieve the above objects. (16 December, 2004)

### Amendment to Section 6 of the Hindu Succession Act , 1956

Before Amendment	After Amendment
	governed by the Mitakshara law, the daughter of a coparcener shall,-

Before Amendment	After Amendment
Provided that, if the deceased had left him surviving, a female relative, specified in Class I of the Schedule, or a male relative specified in that Class, who claims through such female relative, (then) the interest of the deceased, in the Mitakshara coparcenary property, shall devolve by testamentary or intestate succession, as the case may be, under this Act, and not by survivorship. Explanation I.—For the purposes of this section, the interest, of a Hindu Mitakshara coparcener, shall be deemed to be the share, in the property, that would have been allotted to him, if a partition of the property had taken place, immediately before his death, irrespective of whether he was entitled to claim partition or not.	<ul> <li>Provided that, nothing, contained in this sub- section, shall affect or invalidate any disposition or alienation, including any partition or testamentary disposition of property, which had taken place before the 20th day of December, 2004 .</li> <li>(2) Any property, to which a female Hindu becomes entitled, by virtue of sub- section (1), shall be held by her, with the incidents of coparcenary ownership, and shall be regarded, notwithstanding, anything contained in this Act, or any other law for the time being in force, as property, capable of being disposed of by her, by testamentary disposition.</li> </ul>

Before Amendment	After Amendment
	(3) Where a Hindu dies, after the commencement of the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005, (then) his interest, in the property of a Joint Hindu family governed by the Mitakshara law, shall devolve by testamentary or intestate succession, as the case may be, under this Act, and not by survivorship, and the coparcenary property shall be deemed to have been divided, as if a partition had taken place, and,-
	(a) the daughter is allotted the same share, as is allotted to a son;
	(b) the share of the pre- deceased son or a pre- deceased daughter, as they would have got, had they been alive at the time of partition, shall be allotted to the surviving child of such pre- deceased son or of such pre- deceased daughter; and
	(c) the share of the pre-deceased child of a pre- deceased son or of a pre- deceased daughter, as such child would have got, had he or she been alive at the time of the partition, shall be allotted to the child of such pre- deceased child of the pre- deceased son or a predeceased daughter, as the case may be.

Before Amendment	After Amendment
	Explanation.—For the purposes of this section, the interest, of a Hindu Mitakshara coparcener, shall be deemed to be the share, in the property, that would have been allotted to him, if a partition of the property had taken place, immediately before his death, irrespective of whether he was entitled to claim partition or not.
	(4) After the commencement of the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005, no court shall recognise any right to proceed against a son, grandson or great- grandson, for the recovery of any debt, due from his father, grandfather or great-grandfather, solely on the ground of the pious obligation under the Hindu law, of such son, grandson or great-grandson, to discharge any such debt:
	Provided that, in the case of any debt, contracted before the commencement of the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005, nothing contained in this sub- section shall affect-
	(a) the right of any creditor, to proceed against the son, grandson or great-grandson, as the case may be; or

Before Amendment	After Amendment
	(b) any alienation, made in respect of, or in satisfaction of, any such debt, and any such right or alienation shall be enforceable, under the rule of pious obligation, in the same manner and to the same extent, as it would have been enforceable, as if the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 had not been enacted. Explanation For the purposes of clause (a), the expression 'son', 'grandson' or 'greatgrandson' shall be deemed to refer to the son, grandson or great- grandson, as the case may be, who was born or adopted prior to the commencement of the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005.
	(5) Nothing contained in this section shall apply to a partition, which has been effected before the 20th day of December, 2004.
	Explanation For the purposes of this section, 'partition' means any partition, made by execution of a deed of partition, duly registered under the Registration Act, 1908 (16 of 1908), or partition effected by a decree of a court.

## Vineeta Sharma vs. Rakesh Sharma Civil Appeal No. 32601 of 2018

- Introduction
- Hindu Law is Progressive
- Joint Hindu Family and Coparcency
- Undivided interest in Coparcency Property
- Obstructed and Unobstructed Heritage
- Retroactive Application of Amended Section 6
- Relevance of the Day 20<sup>th</sup> December 2004
- Abrogation of Rule of Survivorship
- Fiction of Notional Partition
- Partition contemplated by amended Section 6(5)
- Maharashtra Amendment of 1994
- Partition Suit : When Partition said to be complete
- Final Verdict of the Supreme Court

# Thank You!

#### UBR Legal Advocates Mumbai\* Vapi\*NewDelhi

Mumbai:

Chambers: 806, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, "D" Square, Opp. Goklibai School, Dada Bhai Road, Vile Parle (West), Mumbai 400056 T: +91 22 26113635 \* F: +91 22 26101358 \* M: 9820875305 \* E: <u>ubrlegal@yahoo.in</u> Website: www.ubrlegal.com

> **Delhi:** A1/18, Ground Floor, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi-110029 T: +91 11 45730565

Vapi: Chambers: 88, Dimple Estate, Near Suraj Kiran Building, Off Teethal Road, Valsad, Gujarat